Notes of Pre Bid Meeting on New Multipurpose Hall at Floreal SSS, held on Monday 23 January 2017 at 10 00 hrs. in Conference Room 1.96, MITD House, Phoenix.

Present

M/Education & HR

Mr M.S. Ayoob Saab Deputy Permanent Secretary (Chairperson)

Mr Y. Kistomohun Assistant Permanent Secretary

Mr N. Ramsurn Engineer/Senior Engineer

Mr N. Soondrun Manager, Procurement & Supply

Mr N. Rakhal Service to Mauritius (Intern)

Mrs H. Dabeedoal Office Management Assistant (Secretary)

MPI, LT & S

Mr R. Ramjit Principal Architect

Mr V. Seetaram Principal Quantity Surveyor

Mr N. Seevathean Engineer/Senior Engineer

Prospective Bidders Present

Mr R Ramchurn Contract Manager, Nuzeebun Project C. Ltd

Mr Y. Kishnah Engineer, Y.K. ENGI Ltd

Mr V. Ramsamy Quantity Surveyor, EDCC Co. Ltd

Mr J. Thielamay Engineer, EDCC Co. Ltd

Mr J. Murthen Contract Manager, RBRB Construction Ltd

Mr S. Chadee Consultant, B. Jeetun Co. Ltd

Mr A. Jeetun Co. Ltd

Mr D. Duverge Quantity Surveyor, Buildaex Construction Co. Ltd

1. Welcome

The Chairperson welcomed all members present.

2. Purpose of meeting

The purpose of the meeting was to give prospective Bidders the opportunity to request for clarification as regard to the bidding document.

3. Matters arising

S.N	Query	Answer		
1.	As per drawing, whether the transformer room and soak away will be relocated or not?	The need to relocate/upgrade or not will depend on the proposal of the bidder.		
2.	Whether there is separate access for students and the general public?	The access point is already mentioned on the drawings. There should be separate access for students and the general public.		
3.	Pg. 58- Evaluation Criteria. Whether the functional aspect could be enlightened?	The functional aspect has been left open for bidders to come up with proposals so as not to be limitative.		
4.	Pg. 61 Whether the MSB standard is accepted as no mention is made in the bidding document?	Yes.		
5.	Pg. 60- Architect Experience. Whether the requirements for 10 years' experience can be reviewed?	No, we should go along the line of the bidding document.		
6.	Pg. 60- Structural Engineer. Whether it can be an individual or a firm?	Already defined. The civil Engineer should be registered with the Council of Professional Engineers.		
7.	Pg. 60 Whether there is a contradiction regarding the fortnightly site meetings	No. There will be a fortnightly meeting chaired by the client's representative and a second one internal to the contractor.		

	between Pg. 60 and Pg. 65.(fortnightly technical meeting)			
8.	Pg. 66- Clause 8 and 9. Whether the Consultant Architect is required to assist in replying to audit queries and respond to queries of the Public Account Committee (PAC)?	Yes, Architect would be required to assist in replying to audit queries and respond to queries of the PAC.		
9.	Pg. 68- Soil Test Report. To clarify on the soil test report that has to be undertaken.	On Pg. 70, the soil test report has already been spelt out.		
10.	Pg. 70 Whether the bidding document is correct as to the use of structural timber?	Yes, it is correct.		
11.	Pg. 73- Scope of services of consulting. Regarding ventilation and air conditioner, bidders wanted to know whether the whole Multipurpose Hall should be air-conditioned.	The consultant should come up with proposals among others for natural ventilation as well as air conditioner.		
12.	Pg. 74 Whether the section 1.2 A pertaining to electrical and lightning installation is correct?	Yes, section 1.2 A is correct.		
13.	What is the timeframe for making request for clarification?	It is already provided in the tender notice (30 days before the deadline for the submission of bids).		
14.	Pg. 122- Electrical Works. Why there is repetition for supply, install, test and commissioning of the different items of electrical works?	The bidding document is as per the pro-forma submitted by the Public Procurement Office (PPO).		
15.	Pg. 123- Mechanical Works. Whether there is need for testing and commissioning in the case of mechanical works?	Yes, there is need for testing and commissioning and same is provided in the bidding document.		
16.	Pg. 4- Instructions to bidders.	Yes.		

	To confirm whather the contract period				
	To confirm whether the contract period				
	is 17 months as per section 1.2 scope of bid?				
17.	Pg. 11- Experience of Contractor.	The evaluation team will assess the above			
	Who would assess the experience of the	criteria.			
	prime contractor regarding at least one				
	project of a nature and complexity				
	equivalent to the works				
18.	Pg. 12- Consultant (fulltime/part time	The contractor may employ consultant QS on			
	Quantity Surveyor).	either fulltime or part time basis.			
	To enlighten on the services of				
	fulltime/part time consultant.				
19.	Whether there is a timeframe for the	There is no timeframe for the Ministry to			
	Ministry to approve the design?	approve the design. That would depend on the			
		design submitted.			
20.	Pg. 19- Bid validity.	Yes.			
	Whether Clause 18.2 regarding bid				
	validity is correct?				
21.	Pg. 20- Clause 22.2	Yes.			
	Whether the wording "typed" is				
	correct?				
22.	Pg. 49 – Clause 20	No.			
	Whether dispute may be resolved				
	through arbitration?				
23.	Pg. 57- Examination Period.	The school calendar for 2017 is as follows:			
	Whether the MOE could indicate period	Primary	Secondary		
	during which examination are held?	10 January-	10 January-		
		07 April	07 April		
				-	
		24 April-14	24 April-14		
		July	July		
		14 August -	07 August-		
		03	27 October		
		November			
		Normally exams starts about 3-4 weeks before end of semesters.			

• The Project Management Team for the client Ministry suggested that all bidders peruse the bidding document so that all required information are submitted in their respective bids.

4. End of Meeting

The Chairperson thanked all those present and the meeting ended at 11.10 hours.

Ministry of Education & Human Resources

24 January 2017